

# The Voter

Spring 2014

## League Brings You a Movie

The producers of *The Healthcare Movie* are on a West Coast Tour and will be in Claremont the evening of April 15, 2014. They will be screening their acclaimed and engrossing 65-minute film in Decker Hall at Pilgrim Place.

This documentary provides the real story of how the health care systems in Canada and the United States evolved to be so completely different, when at one point they were essentially the same. Most people under the age of 50, in both countries, are not aware of the intensity of the political struggle that led to the universal medical care system in Canada. Nor are they aware of the public relations campaigns prevalent in the United States since the early 1900's to dissuade the public from supporting national health care. See the movie. Meet the producers.

**April 15, 2014 at 7 pm**

**Free**

**Decker Hall at Pilgrim Place**

## Water Acquisition Moves Ahead

In June the Claremont City Council is expected to vote to put funding to acquire the local water system on the November General Election ballot. The acquisition will be funded through revenue bonds paid for as part of water users' utility bills and would require only a simple majority of votes to pass. We need to use the time from now until the fall election to educate the public about the issues and why it is so much in the public interest to vote "yes" to approve the bond measure. GSWC will be going all out to work against the measure – to encourage voters to fear a future without GSWC and to get them to trust company good will and to forget the company's overriding but understandable need to put stockholders benefits above public good.

Once funding is approved, the City Council is expected to approve a Resolution of Necessity and begin eminent domain proceedings to acquire the water system.

Our local board passed the following motion: *The League of Women Voters of the Claremont Area will engage in a campaign for passage of a ballot measure at the*

*November 4 General Election to issue revenue bonds for acquisition of Claremont's water system and will form an Action Committee to further this purpose. This action is in accord with the League's position in support of public acquisition of the local water system, believing that public control is worth the cost, even if high.*

For over 70 years, the League has been urging Claremont to purchase its water system. We finally have a supportive, enlightened, and unanimous City Council taking this action. We have been close in the past, but never this close. Had we seized earlier opportunities, we would be better off now. Water doesn't get cheaper. If we don't do this now, we could pay dearly in the future. This is a chance to put public interest above private profit. Everyone can do something: talk to friends, neighbors; keep fear from overriding public good and considered judgment. Public well-being needs and deserves public support. Be involved. You can make a difference.

**Freeman Allen/Sally Seven**

## Join the Challenge!

Claremont is one of ten cities signed on to the CoolCalifornia Challenge. It's all about what individuals can do to combat climate change – and there is good publicity as well as \$100,000 to be

divided among the cities based on points they are able to generate. Individuals sign up and report electricity and gas usage, vehicle miles traveled, and other such things that affect climate change.

*(Continued at the bottom of next page)*

## Co-Presidents' Message

With the lengthening of daylight and the arrival of spring signaling the opening of a new world, we look for more 'light' to shine upon the functions of our President, Congress, and the IRS. Our national League is working hard on keeping Voter Rights available to as many as possible. The national organization, as well as local members, is providing input into the revision of the IRS laws in regard to the abuse of the 501(c)(4) section that allows blatant partisan organizations to operate under the guise of a social welfare programs.

Claremont Leaguers gathered on 2/20/14 at the Gardens to discuss and provide consensus for the first part of the national study on Agriculture. The study topic is broad and often quite complicated. Discussion and consensus questions continued on March 20, at the Gardens.

March 8, 2014, found a number of League members taking part in the forum at Scripps College titled Water Scarcity and Solutions: Global and Local. The sessions started at 9:00 am and finished near 5:30 pm. Eleven speakers participated in various panel formats during day. Sessions covering the Global Water Issues/Climate Change, the National Water Situation and a session on California as a whole and another session on just the southern area. The Keynote Address, given by Peter Gleick, included his thoughts on "A 21<sup>st</sup> Century U.S. Water Policy."

On the same date, a thorough and well-presented community forum held at Taylor Hall included over 150 people from the San Gabriel Valley. The topic of interest was Hydraulic Fracturing. The presentation was sponsored

by Chris Holden's office that represents the 41<sup>st</sup> CA Assembly District. Chris Holden's staff also hosted an Open House on Friday, March 21, 2014 at The Old School House, 415 Foothill Blvd, Suite 124 in Claremont. His new office will more effectively serve the eastern side of his district.

Having attended parts of both of these presentations, at the end of the day my mind was filled with stimulating information that I shared with family and friends.

March has also seen movement on the Golden State Water Issue. The Claremont League was asked to send a 'Letter of Necessity' to the City Council, which we did, moving us closer to the hopeful purchase of the wells beneath the city. On March 22, the Claremont League sponsored a community forum titled "Is the Woman's Movement Still Relevant in 2014?" Los Angeles women leaders from around the LA Basin recounted their personal experiences. It was certainly a stimulating afternoon and filled with much food for thought! See article on page three.

At the end of March, the Los Angeles County League held its 52<sup>nd</sup> Annual Convention at the Rio Hondo Event Center in Downey. The speaker for the convention was Aiha Nguyen who is from the Los Angeles Alliance for a New Economy (LAANE). She spoke on "Inequality in the Los Angeles County." See the convention report below.

Make your voice a part of the community of concern! Join the Claremont Area League!

**Ruth Currie, Co President**     **Jerry, Klasik, Co-President**

---

### **Challenge...**

*(continued from front page)*

You can join a group (of up to five people) if you want to, but it's not necessary. The Challenge is meant to encourage individuals or groups (households, peer networks, schools, businesses, etc.) to work together toward the goal of lowering consumption of energy and emissions of greenhouse gases.

Sustainable Claremont is organizing the Challenge in Claremont, and we have an excellent chance to come out at or near the top and earn up to \$10,000 – or more – for community sustainability efforts. We hope you will help by signing up at [coolclimate.berkeley.edu/challenge](http://coolclimate.berkeley.edu/challenge) before the end of May and by encouraging others to join us.

- April 1 through August 31: Participants earn points during five-month challenge
- May 30: Prize money will be awarded based on new participant sign-ups
- October 2014: The awards ceremony crowning the "Coolest California City" and runner-up cities

The California Air Resources Board generated this Challenge that combines social media, community organizing, and sophisticated online tools developed at the University of California, Berkeley. It's actually fun to participate. Sign up – do it for you, for Claremont, for our planet, and for our future.

Freeman Allen

# Is the Women's Movement Still Relevant?

On March 22, 2014 at the Hughes Center, four panelists addressed this issue with compelling personal stories about struggles in the workplace and at the voting polls.

Commissioner Dallas Fowler from the Los Angeles City Commission on the Status of Women (and a young, black woman) had her very first voting experience in Dade County, Florida, during the 2000 presidential election. She spoke about the excitement of casting her first vote, only to be turned away at the polls by individuals who intimidated her and others as they tried to cast ballots. After a tearful call to her mother here in California, she did get to cast her vote at the Florida State Capitol, but we all wondered how many others didn't.

Claremont School Board member Nancy Treser-Osgood spoke about the difficulty of coming from a mixed ethnic background when she was a younger woman in the Midwest. She pointed out that running for public office is still an all-too-rare occasion for a woman and encouraged more women to run for office.

Kim Plater, a retired police chief, spoke of the problems of even being considered for a police officer position in the 70's. Ultimately, she rose in the ranks to become a Chief of Police and credited much of her success to a male mentor. Kim is also co-founder of the Covina Women's Club Domestic Violence Action Coalition and reported that

there are 26,000 sexual assaults against men and women annually in the military. Many of us were shocked. Kim is an expert on domestic violence and is a sought-after speaker throughout California.

Claremont Graduate University Professor Dr. Jean Schroedel rounded out our panel. She spoke of growing up in poverty in Washington State. She described the day-to-day stress of working conditions in an all-male machine shop. Her employer would not provide her with a bathroom, so she had to walk in the rain to the nearest gas station to use the facilities. She testified to the importance of a college education for women. She is currently actively involved in a restrictive voter case in Montana that could eventually get to the Supreme Court.

Summing up the day's message, Congresswoman Judy Chu spoke about the continuing relevance of the Women's Movement and about how the women in Congress are united in confronting issues so many women still face.

The rapt attention of those present was a tribute to the honesty and relevance of this diverse panel, different ages and backgrounds but all-too-similar struggles. The audience was treated to an event that most of us are still talking about – a great success thanks to our panel and Congresswoman Judy Chu.

Cindy Reul

## June 2014 Statewide Ballot Measures

***Proposition 41: Veterans Housing and Homeless Prevention Bond Act of 2014.***

***Proposition 42: Public Records. Open Meetings. State Reimbursement to Local Agencies. Legislative Constitutional Amendment***

### **Positions on June Ballot Measures: Support 41 and 42**

The LWVC supports both measures on the June ballot. Our support for Prop 41 is based on our position on housing, and the need for more affordable housing in California. Prop 42 will guarantee the public's right to know and make it clear that adherence to both the Brown Act and California Public Records Act is a basic cost of doing business for governments.

### **No Positions Yet On November Ballot Measures, Including Educate Our State!**

The League has not yet taken a position on any of the measures currently in circulation for the November ballot, including Educate Our State. This means that there should **not** be signature gathering or other advertising for these measures at League events or in League publications.

### **No Consensus On Minimum Wage, Cannot Support It**

There are a number of proposed minimum wage measures in cities and counties around California. Please note that local Leagues may **not** support these measures using the LWVUS Meeting Basic Human Needs position. In the study that led to the adoption of that position, there was a question on the minimum wage. Because there was no consensus on that question, we have no position from which to act.

If you have questions or concerns, or you're not sure what taking positions, speaking with one voice, or consensus means, please contact your Management Training Advisor and/or email [hhutchison@lwvc.org](mailto:hhutchison@lwvc.org).

## Expanding/Contracting Voter Access

In Illinois, 17-year-olds can now register and vote in their June primaries so long as they will be 18 by the date of the November general election.

Meanwhile, in California, LWVC, ACLU of California, All of Us Or None (a nonprofit organization that advocates for the rights of formerly and currently incarcerated people and their families), and a San Francisco Civil Rights Lawyers' Committee have just filed a lawsuit over the Secretary of State's directive to local election officials in December 2011 that individuals on post-release community supervision or mandatory supervision should not be allowed to vote. These two new and innovative forms of community supervision were created under California's Criminal Justice Realignment Act and made available to people recently incarcerated for low-level, non-violent, non-serious crimes. Implementing the Secretary of State's directive could disenfranchise an estimated 60,000 potential voters. The lawsuit was filed on behalf of three people who have or will soon lose their right to vote,

"Voting should be part of a successful reintegration into one's community, but the Secretary of State's memo takes us in the wrong direction," said Jennifer A. Waggoner, President, League of Women Voters of California. "People of color are being disenfranchised and thousands of voters and county officials are being confused about their voting rights. The strength of our democracy comes from allowing everyone to fulfill their civic responsibility to vote."

"The Secretary of State should be working to *increase* voter participation, not to undermine it," said Michael Risher, a staff attorney with the ACLU of Northern California. "California has dismal rates of voter registration and participation. The Secretary of State is making this even worse by disenfranchising tens of thousands of California citizens who are trying to re-engage with their communities. With voting rights under attack across the nation, and the U.S. Supreme Court's disappointing decision striking down a critical law that protected the right to vote for people of color and language minorities, California needs more protection – not less – for voting rights."

"The law clearly establishes a presumption in favor of the right to vote, with only limited and specific

exceptions," said Meredith Desautels, staff attorney of the Lawyers' Committee for Civil Rights of the San Francisco Bay Area. "The Secretary of State unilaterally expanded these exceptions, without any public comment or input, disenfranchising thousands of members of our community and creating confusion around the voting rights of formerly incarcerated people. This unconstitutional disenfranchisement particularly impacts communities of color, who are too often excluded from the democratic process."

"Society is much more secure when all people feel they are fully part of it," said Dorsey Nunn, executive director of All of Us Or None, one of the plaintiff organizations. "If we want formerly incarcerated Californians to be good citizens, we need to convince them that they are a part of society too. I have never met a graffiti artist who spray paints his own home or business."

After California voters in 1974 approved Proposition 10, state law has been clear that the only people ineligible to vote in California are those convicted of a felony who are in prison or on parole.

S7

### Did you know that for LA County 2013 Elections

- LA County had 1.7 million registered voters  
In November 2013, only 200,000 votes were cast--less than 12% turnout
- LA County's 88 Cities had  
Ballots in 16 languages  
5,000 Polling Places  
9,000 Bilingual Poll Workers
- 19.6% of voters have a disability  
39% of voters age 65 and older have a disability
- There were 12 different dates for elections to fill vacated positions of elected officials costing LA Co. \$12 million.

This information was provided by the LA Co. Registrar-Recorder (Reprinted from LWVC website, February 2014)

*Cindy Reul*, VP Voter Services

Recent fund raiser:  
**Food for Thought** – money for League  
Thanks for attending

Are we wise enough  
To live without destroying  
Our environment?

All can do something  
No one can do ev'rything  
Let's cooperate

# Child Development Budget (Calif.) Workshop

California State LWV and our local LWV have strong positions on funding for child care and education: (Check 2012-13 Directory & Yearbook for more complete statements.)

**Support state and local policies, legislation and programs that meet the need for accessible, affordable, and quality child care.** (LWV CA)

**Support long-term, consistent funding, including federal and state subsidies, for public child care programs and incentives for continuation of child care businesses.** (LWV Claremont Area)

As we watch the current budget proposal unfold in the coming months, here are some changes made since 2007-2008 to keep in mind:

\*Funding of CDE programs has decreased by nearly 40%.

\*About 1/4<sup>th</sup> of the subsidized slots for children (110,000) have been eliminated.

\*Policy decisions have reduced funding: reduced eligibility, increased work requirements, reduced reimbursements to providers, new family fees for part-day State Preschool, elimination of "Latchkey" and full year programs.

\*COLA (Cost of Living Adjustment) has been capped at the 2004 level (and now suspended until 2015-16) (except for American Indian Programs, Nutrition, Special Ed.)

\*Regional Market Rate for reimbursement has been capped at the 2005 level.

We need to remember when we talk about increases in the CDE budget proposals for 2014-15 we are starting at a decreased level of funding for several years. In fiscal year 2012-13 there was a total base funding decrease of \$23 million from 2011-12. The increases in the current proposal do not make up for all of the past decreases.

There are issues on the horizon that may affect this 2014-15 proposal as it continues through the process. Child Care costs are expected to rise 3-5% because of the implementation of the Affordable Care Act and an expected Minimum Wage increase. There is a proposal to extend Transitional Kindergarten (now 3 mos.) (SB 837) and a possible new program for 0-3 year olds. Because the Local Control Funding Formula (LCFF) has gone into effect, allowing school districts to decide how to distribute funds from about 40 categorical programs, there may be

implications for child development programs, depending on local district decisions. These issues will impact current programs in terms of costs and the shifting of children to new programs, and loss of space, in ways that are yet unknown. Administrators are having a difficult time planning ahead for the next year.

The projected growth rate in California of general fund revenues from personal income tax, sales tax and corporation tax is 6.9%. Both the revenue projections and the budget items will be updated in the Governor's May Revision of the budget proposal. The best opportunities for advocacy are between now and May when final decisions begin to be made for the passage of the budget by June 15.

*Note: This information comes from a recent workshop on the State Budget Proposals and their impact on funding for child care and education programs. Sponsors and presenters were from On the Capitol Doorstep (a statewide newsletter on children's policy issues), Children's Defense Fund California (part of the national CDF of Marion Wright Edelman fame) and the California CD Administrators Association (public and private CD program administrators). The program was detailed, far-reaching and informative, with about 100 participants, mostly administrators of child development agencies and local providers and was repeated in 3 locations throughout the state. I only touch highlights here, but I do have a lot of information (e.g., on the Federal Budget and related programs), so if you want more detail, please contact me.*

**Katie Gerecke**

## Committee Seeks a Few Good Volunteers

The LWV of the Claremont Area's Nominating Committee has gathered a remarkable slate of officers for the 2014-2015 Board; however, we are still looking for a Social Policy director and someone to take the Publicity position. If you are interested or know of a possible candidate, please call Carole Harter, Chairwoman of the Nominating Committee, 626-1723. Participation on the Board is an excellent way to stay in touch with all that is happening around our city, region, county, state, and nation.

## Information Gleaned from the LWVUS Agriculture Policy Update

Claremont Area League held consensus meetings in February and March to answer questions posed by the LWV-US Agriculture Update Study Committee. The study dealt with current agricultural issues including government subsidies in this age of large, industrialized, corporate farming; animal management; government roles in research and development; food safety; and food labeling. Sally Seven, Dawn Thielo, Kathy Bresnan, Betsey Coffman and Marilee Scaff formed the study committee which guided League members in discussing and making decisions about these issues. Our responses have been sent to the national League, and the updated LWV-US position on Agriculture will be announced in June. Our local study committee did much reading and talking about these agricultural matters, and would like to share some of our new-found knowledge in this and upcoming *Voters*. The following is a brief summary of what we learned about genetic engineering and nanotechnology in relation to agriculture and food safety.

Genetic engineering refers to scientific processes for developing new crops or animals that are not the traditional hybridization or breeding techniques – processes that alter the organism’s genetic material (DNA). The term “GMO” or genetically modified organism refers to a living organism that is the product or result of any of many genetic engineering processes. Genetic engineering (GE) is utilized to alter seeds to produce plant characteristics that increase their usefulness for agriculture -- characteristics such as providing disease, insect or herbicide resistance; enhancing nutritional value; or enabling plants to thrive under unfavorable growing conditions such as drought, cold, or soil salinity. Although traditional selective hybridization techniques might produce new plant varieties with some of these useful characteristics, genetic engineering can achieve these results much more quickly and in a more precise way. GE agriculture has become widespread in the United States. In 2013 GE corn and cotton comprised 90% of planted acreages and GE soybeans and canola comprised 93% of their respective acreages.

GE alterations in animals are still in the research stage, and no GE animals or animal products have been approved, as yet, for human food. However, GE salmon, which grow twice as fast as natural salmon, are being evaluated by the Food and Drug Administration. Other animal traits being developed by GE are increased milk production and increased disease resistance.

A plant GMO must be approved by the U.S. Dept. of Agriculture Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service (APHIS) before it is grown outside a laboratory. Approval involves the GMO manufacturer notifying APHIS of its intent to do field testing and providing product information

for review. This process does not involve public or external scientific input – the information provided is considered confidential business information (CBI). If APHIS determines that a more intensive review is needed, environmental review and public notification and comment can be required, but this is not commonly done. After successful field testing, APHIS approves the GMO for marketing and no further government regulation is required. “Regulation of GMOs is based on the concept of *substantial equivalence* – If a new food is determined to be substantially equivalent in composition and nutritional characteristics to an existing food, it can be regarded as being as safe as the conventional food... and does not require extensive safety testing.” Some scientists have criticized the substantial equivalence concept as not being properly defined and resulting in vagueness that is useful for producers but not for consumers. The World Health Organization and the American Medical Association, among other agencies, “agree that there is no evidence that it is dangerous to eat genetically modified foods. [But] statements concerning the absence of evidence .... are generally accompanied by calls for continued vigilance”. (*Genetic Engineering and Genetically Modified Organisms in the Food System, LWVUS, Ag. Update Study, 2013, pp. 4-5*) A 2002 National Review Council (NRC) report on the GMO regulatory process recommended ecological monitoring by APHIS after market approval to assess unanticipated or long-term environmental GMO impacts.

Nanotechnology is a process that involves “manipulation of materials at atomic, molecular and macromolecular” levels resulting in restructuring their shape and size on a nanometric scale. A nanometer (nm) is a billionth of a meter – a piece of paper is about 100,000 nanometers thick. Nanoparticles have “at least one dimension in the nanoscale range of 1nm to 100 nm”, and their “small size gives them a structure with a large surface area in proportion to size. The larger surface creates unique response characteristics (for example increased reactivity or intensified color or flavor).” (*Overview of Nanotechnology and Other Technologies, LWVUS, Ag. Update Study, p.1, 2013*) Currently, over 1600 commercially available products contain nanomaterials – for example, “a stain repellent in clothing, material to extract toxics from water, and sunscreens to absorb light”. Current research for utilizing nanotechnology in agricultural products could result in sensors which could detect soil conditions, insects, or presence of disease; or nanotubes which could “penetrate through the thick coatings on seeds, stimulate germination of the seeds and stimulate the growth of certain plants.” (*Ibid., p. 2*) In the food industry, nanoparticles may be contained in food colorings or in anticaking additives used in sugar products. Currently a reduced-fat mayonnaise is being developed,

composed of an emulsion that contains nanodroplets of water.

“The National Nanotechnology Initiative (NNI) is a collaborative...program among 25 federal agencies, 15 of which have specific nanotechnology budgets” (*Ibid.*, p. 2). Most of these funds are for basic research, with only about 5% being used for environmental, health and safety research. However, the EPA is in the process of developing rules and guidance for the use of

nanotechnology, as the safety and potential toxicity from the use of these products is recognized. “Risks include the ability of the particles to cross the blood-brain, dermal, placental and other barriers, potential impacts on biological systems, and control and tracking of the particles...[but] as of October 2013, a final United States regulatory policy on Nanotechnology had not been developed and both industry and the public are concerned.” (*Ibid.*, pp. 2-3)

*Betsey Coffman*

## County League Convention

The Los Angeles County League of Women Voters is an Inter-League Organization (ILO) that includes the eleven local Leagues in the County. It focuses on the activities of the Boards, Commissions, Agencies and Departments which govern and provide services to L. A. County -- from the Board of Supervisors to the huge Departments which provide safety, health, social, and other services to the nine million plus people who live here. Each year, in March, the County LWV holds a Convention to elect Officers and Board Members, to consider and approve a budget, and every two years to decide on the program for the coming biennium. This year the Convention was held at the Rio Hondo Event Center in Downey on March 29<sup>th</sup>. Ruth Currie, Jerry Klasik, Tressa Kentner, Kathy Bresnan, and Cindy Reul went as delegates from the Claremont Area LWV. Local LWV members Sally Seven and Betsey Coffman also served as delegates, since they are on the County League Board.

When it comes to deciding on the program (the topics on which to concentrate the League’s efforts), League Conventions tend to be lively, and this one was no exception. The Board had recommended that the County League not do a study, since our last study committee had great difficulty in recruiting people to participate. But some Los Angeles City League members thought differently. They wanted the County LWV to adopt a study of Voting By Mail, which they proposed might encourage more citizens to actually cast their votes. First they successfully appealed to the delegates to let them go first in the order of topic consideration, and then they

successfully got the group’s approval to actually consider their proposal. Finally, after much cautionary advice from seasoned members who warned of taking on tasks they couldn’t complete in the exemplary League way, they won the delegates’ approval to do an Update of the County League’s position on Elections, with emphasis on Vote By Mail procedures.

Nancy Mahr (Palos Verdes LWV) was re-elected President of the County League for two more years. In addition to taking care of League business, the Convention delegates heard a presentation from Aiha Nguyen, a representative of the Los Angeles Alliance for a New Economy (LAANE). This non-profit organization works to reduce poverty and promote sustainability through specific projects to effect change in local industries. Their methods include researching to find out about salaries and services in the industry, communicating with city and industry representatives, and organizing workers or communities in efforts to reduce poverty and/or pollution. Examples include their “Clean and Safe Ports” program, working with truckers in the Port of Los Angeles in attempts to improve both their financial situations and the air quality of the area, and their work with hotel cleaners to improve working conditions. League members from Long Beach praised LAANE for its work with hotel workers in their city. More information about this enterprising organization is available at [www.laane.org](http://www.laane.org).

*Betsey Coffman*

## Observers Needed

We still need observers for the school boards and city councils for Chino, Chino Hills, La Verne, Montclair, Ontario, Rancho Cucamonga, and San Dimas. If you live in one of these cities, try observing for just a couple of meetings; I bet you’ll get hooked. If you don’t live in one of these cities, consider “adopting a city” to improve our League coverage for our full service area. Perhaps you have a non-League friend who would be interested? They need not be League members to observe...but most do join!

*Barbara Rugeley*, Observer Director, [b.rugeley@verizon.net](mailto:b.rugeley@verizon.net)



Claremont Area

Non-Profit Org  
U.S. Postage  
PAID  
Permit No. 166

PO Box 1532  
Claremont, CA 91711

Co-Presidents: Ruth Currie  
Jerry Klasik  
VP of Administration: Tressa Kentner  
***Voter*** Editor: Elizabeth Smith  
**Spring 2014**

Return Service Requested

LWVClaremont Area 2014 Program/Events

Date	Type	Location	Time	Topic	Organizers	Notes
April 15 (Tuesday)	Healthcare movie	Decker Hall Pilgrim Place	7 pm	Health Care differences between US and Canada	Seven, Coffman, Scaff	free
Apr 17 (Thursday)	Unit discussion	MSAG	9:30 am	Water Issues	Sullivan, Scaff, Allen	
May 15 (Thursday)	Unit discussion	MSAG	9:30 am	Observer reports	Rugeley	
May 17-18 (Sat. – Sun.)	State Council	San Jose, CA			LWVC	
Jun 7 (Saturday)	Annual Meeting	Padua Room, Hughes Center	10 am- noon	Annual Meeting	Schenk, Curry, Klasik	Food/drink
July 19 (Saturday)	Pasadena Popovers LWVLAC	Women's City Club, Pasadena	9:30 am		LWV/LA County	